Extended Data

The effect of cumulative early life adversities, and their differential mediation through hair cortisol levels, on childhood growth and cognition: Three-year follow-up of a birth cohort in rural India

Debarati Mukherjee, PhD¹*, Sunil Bhopal, PhD^{2,3}*, Supriya Bhavnani, PhD⁴, Kamal Kant Sharma, MSc⁴, Reetabrata Roy^{3,4}, Gauri Divan, MRPCH⁴, Siddhartha Mandal, PhD⁵, Seyi Soremekun, PhD^{3,6,7}, Betty Kirkwood, FMedSci³, Vikram Patel, FMedSci^{4,8,9#}.

* Joint first authors

[#] Corresponding author: Prof. Vikram Patel; Email: <u>vikram_patel@hms.harvard.edu</u>

(Extended Data) Fig. 1. Summary of games on Developmental Assessment on an E-Platform (DEEP) to test cognition in preschool children

DEEP comprises 9 games to test various components of cognition such as processing speed, manual coordination, response inhibition, divided attention, reasoning, visual form perception, visual integration and working memory. This figure lists the game names, example screenshots and main instructions for gameplay on DEEP.







Single tap

Child to tap a single stationary target as fast as they can

Alternate tap

Child to tap two stationary targets alternately as fast as they can

Popping balloons

Child to tap moving targets as fast as they can

Grow your garden

Child to touch the target while not touching the distractor





Hidden objects

Child to remember where the multiple characters hide together

Odd one out

Child to touch the object that is different from the other three

Matching shapes

Child to drag the objects to their matching shadow

Jigsaw

Child to drag the parts of the animal to its shadow to make a whole



ocation recall

Child to remember where the moon hid while playing another game

(Extended Data) Table 1: Association between cumulative adversity (total score and quintiles) measured at 12 months and growth and cognition (DEEP) measured at 3-years (N = 1124)

Total	n*	DEEP z-score		Anthropometry z-score			
Adversity Score		Mean score	95% CI	Weight-for- age	95% CI	Height- for-age	95% CI
0	102	0.30	(0.09, 0.52)	-0.95	(-1.13, -0.77)	-1.15	(-1.34, -0.97)
1	180	0.21	(0.03, 0.38)	-1.17	(-1.31, -1.03)	-1.29	(-1.44, -1.15)
2	194	0.07	(-0.11, 0.24)	-1.33	(-1.46, -1.19)	-1.49	(-1.63, -1.34)
3	178	0.13	(-0.05, 0.31)	-1.33	(-1.48, -1.19)	-1.48	(-1.64, -1.33)
4	154	-0.07	(-0.25, 0.12)	-1.49	(-1.64, -1.33)	-1.65	(-1.82, -1.49)
5	108	-0.17	(-0.38, 0.05)	-1.64	(-1.82, -1.46)	-1.88	(-2.08, -1.68)
6	83	-0.32	(-0.57, -0.08)	-1.69	(-1.89, -1.49)	-1.96	(-2.17, -1.74)
7	44	-0.27	(-0.60, 0.07)	-1.72	(-2.00, -1.44)	-1.91	(-2.21, -1.61)
8+	80	-0.43	(-0.66, -0.20)	-2.00	(-2.20, -1.80)	-2.16	(-2.38, -1.95)
Decrease per adversity		-0.08	(-0.11, -0.06)	-0.11	(-0.13, -0.09)	-0.12	(-0.14, -0.09)
p-trend		<0.001		< 0.001		<0.001	
Adversity factors PCA (quintiles)	1	0.20	(0.03, 0.37)	-1.07	(-1.19, -0.95)	-1.25	(-1.38, -1.12)
	2	0.21	(0.04, 0.37)	-1.25	(-1.37, -1.13)	-1.36	(-1.49, -1.23)
	3	0.05	(-0.11, 0.20)	-1.39	(-1.51, -1.27)	-1.57	(-1.70, -1.44)
	4	-0.08	(-0.24, 0.08)	-1.52	(-1.64, -1.40)	-1.70	(-1.83, -1.57)
	5	-0.36	(-0.52, -0.20)	-1.83	(-1.95, -1.71)	-2.05	(-2.18, -1.92)
Decrease per quintile (linear)		-0.14	(-0.18, -0.10)	-0.2	(-0.2, -0.1)	-0.2	(-0.2, -0.2)
p-trend		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	

*represents that some participant data was imputed (see Supplementary Table 1 for details).

(Extended Data) Table 2: Association between domain specific adversity scores and child outcomes (N = 1124)

Association estimates were computed from mixed-effects linear regression models adjusted for gender and age at 36-month assessment, with cluster as the random effect and intervention allocation arm as the fixed effect.

Adversity type	No. factors	Range		DEEP	36m WAZ	36m HAZ
SES	6	0-6	Mean with 0 factors	-0.01	-1.20	-1.32
			(95% CI)	(-0.25, 0.23)	(-1.29, -1.11)	(-1.42, -1.21)
			Change with increasing	-0.19	-0.17	-0.21
			factors (95% CI)	(-0.24, -0.14)	(-0.22, -0.13)	(-0.26, -0.17)
			p for slope	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Maternal stress	6	0-4	Mean with 0 factors	-0.09	-1.28	-1.47
			(95% CI)	(-0.35, 0.16)	(-1.38, -1.18)	(-1.59, -1.35)
			Change with increasing	-0.09	-0.14	-0.09
	Ŭ		factors (95% CI)	(-0.16, -0.03)	(-0.21, -0.08)	(-0.16, -0.02)
			p for slope	0.007	< 0.001	0.008
Relationship	4	0-3	Mean with 0 factors	0.06	-1.13	-1.32
			(95% CI)	(-0.20, 0.32)	(-1.24, -1.03)	(-1.45, -1.20)
			Change with increasing	-0.18	-0.25	-0.22
			factors (95% CI)	(-0.25, -0.10)	(-0.32, -0.18)	(-0.29, -0.15)
			p for slope	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Child	6	0-5	Mean with 0 factors	-0.13	-1.29	-1.42
			(95% CI)	(-0.39, 0.12)	(-1.40, -1.18)	(-1.55, -1.29)
			Change with increasing	-0.01	-0.10	-0.14
			factors (95% CI)	(-0.07, 0.05)	(-0.15, -0.04)	(-0.19, -0.08)
			p for slope	0.767	< 0.001	<0.001

(Extended Data) Table 3: Association between chronic stress as measured by log hair cortisol levels at 12 months and growth and cognition measured at 3-years (N = 607)

Association estimates were computed from mixed-effects linear regression models adjusted for gender and age at 36month assessment, with cluster as the random effect and intervention allocation arm as the fixed effect.

Log hair cortisol	DEEP-z-score	WAZ	HAZ
β-coef (95% CI)	-0.09 (-0.16, -0.01)	-0.078 (-0.15, - 0.004)	-0.12 (-0.20, - 0.04)
p-value	0.04	0.142	0.005