Productivity, family planning & reproductive health in Burkina Faso: PopDev qualitative data

DOI:

10.17037/DATA.19

Data Creators

Seydou DRABO, Aline KAGAMBEGA, Assita KEITA, Sonia KONTIEBO, Lisa MONTEL, Veronique FILIPPI, André SOUBEIGA, Katerini STORENG, Sylvestre DA, Carine ALLAHISSEM, Idriss GALI GALI, Maurice YAOGO

Data Description

The PopDev study is a prospective cohort study of pregnant and/or postpartum women who were between seven months gestation and three months postpartum at recruitment and followed-up over an up to nine month period. The cohort is a population-representative sample of parturient women in the commune of Bobo-Dioulasso, Burkina Faso. The quantitative data is already available in the LSHTM Data Compass archive; this deposit presents the qualitative data (transcripts of 56 in-depth interviews and 3 focus group discussions) from a nested cohort of women recruited from within PopDev, and their husband/partners. Key themes discussed in these qualitative data are women's work, use of family planning, and the factors that facilitated or were challenging during their return to work during the postpartum period.

Data Collection Methods

Participants were purposively selected from within the PopDev cohort in order to achieve a sample of women with a balance of those living in urban and rural area, and a range of socio-professional occupations. Both socio-demographic characteristics (age, marital and reproductive status, level of education) and socio-economic activities were taking into account. Women were generally between seven months gestation and three months postpartum at recruitment. Their husband or partner was also invited to participate in the qualitative study, however in most cases he declined so we have relatively few transcripts from the men.

Most women participated in two in-depth interviews, a baseline and a follow-up interview, typically with a gap of 2-3 months between dates. Interviews were unstructured and focused on the themes of the birth, women's work, use of family planning, and her return to work in the postpartum period. Interviews were conducted in a local language of the woman's choice (usually Dioula), recorded, and later transcribed and translated into French.

In total, 56 interviews took place (48 with women, 8 with their husband or partner). 2 women were interviewed once, 20 women were interviewed twice, and 2 women were interviewed three times.

Three focus group discussions took place to complement the in-depth interviews. Focus groups were stratified by male/female participants and urban/rural residence. The groups discussed women's work-related activities, the respective tasks of men and women at home, and how men could be involved in pregnancy and the postpartum period.

Data Analysis and Preparation

Interviews and focus group discussions were recorded. In most cases the interviewer transcribed the interview, although in a few cases a different transcriber was used. The interviewer translated the interview into French for analysis.

Geographic regions

Burkina Faso

Key dates

Data collection was between February to July 2014.

Quality Controls

Regular exchanges took place between the supervisor and interviewers throughout the duration of the data collection. A full transcript of interview data were created for each interview. Translation from Dioula (the main local language) into French was carried out by an experienced qualitative researcher to ensure proper consideration of local idioms and meaning. All transcribed interviews were first reviewed by the interviewers who checked the quality of each interview and made corrections as needed. Then transcripts were sent to the supervisor for checking. All the data has been reviewed by the supervisor.

Species:

Human population

Privacy:

Information that makes participants potentially identifiable (names, detailed locality information, place of birth etc.) will not be made available for data sharing. The dataset is anonymised; all participants are identified in the dataset by a numeric code that is not based on any potentially identifiable information (such as a social security code). Where both the woman and her husband were interviewed their relationship is indicated by the coding.

A copy of the information sheet and consent form used in the PopDev study is available.

Ethics

Ethical approval was granted by the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine Observational Ethics Committee and the Institutional Ethical Review Committee of Centre Muraz.

Keywords

maternal health; reproductive health; family planning; productivity; women's work; time use; birth preparedness; postpartum period; male involvement

Language of written material

French

Project Information

Project

Productivity, family planning & reproductive health in Burkina Faso: the "PopDev" study

Funder/Sponsor

Economic & Social Research Council (ESRC)

Grant Number

ES/K011049/1

Associated Roles

Associated Noies				
Role	Forename	Surname	Faculty / Dept	Institution
Project Leader	Maurice	YAOGO	-	AFRICSanté
Project Leader	Veronique	FILIPPI	Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health / Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology	LSHTM
Contact person	Jenny	CRESSWELL	Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health / Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology	LSHTM
Data Collector/Researcher	Seydou	DRABO	-	AFRICSanté
Data Collector	Aline	KAGAMBEGA	-	AFRICSanté
Data Collector	Assita	KEITA	-	AFRICSanté
Data Collector	Sonia	KONTIEBO	-	AFRICSanté
Transcriber	Sylvestre	DA	-	AFRICSanté
Transcriber	Carine	ALLAHISSEM	-	AFRICSanté
Transcriber	Idriss	GALI GALI	-	AFRICSanté
Editor	Lisa	MONTEL	Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health / Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology	LSHTM
Supervisor/Co-investigator	André	SOUBEIGA	LARISS	University of Ouagadougou
Supervisor/Co-investigator	Katerini	STORENG	Centre for Development & Environment	University of Oslo

File Description

Title	Filename	File type	Description
Contextual_Information_1_rur	Contextual_Information_1_rural.r	Rich text format	Contextual information in relation to the households of the first cohort
al	tf		interviewed. Rural sector.
FA_1_rural	FA_1_rural.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/3 of FA. Rural sector.
FB_1_rural	FB_1_rural.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/3 of FB. Rural sector.
FC_1_rural	FC_1_rural.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/1 of FC. Rural sector.
HA_1_rural	HA_1_rural.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/1 of HA. Rural sector.
HB_1_rural	HB_1_rural.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/1 of FB. Rural sector.
FA_2_rural	FA_2_rural.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 2/3 of FA. Rural sector.
FA_3_rural	FA_3_rural.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 3/3 of FA. Rural sector.
FB_2_rural	FB_2_rural.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 2/3 of FB. Rural sector.
FB_3_rural	FB_3_rural.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 3/3 of FB. Rural sector.
Contextual_Information_urban	Contextual_Information_urban.rt	Rich text format	Contextual information in relation to the households of the second cohort
	f		interviewed. Urban sector.
FD_1_urban	FD_1_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/2 of FD. Urban sector.
FE_1_urban	FE_1_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/2 of FE. Urban sector.
FF_1_urban	FF_1_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/2 of FF. Urban sector.
FG_1_urban	FG_1_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/2 of FG. Urban sector.
FH_1_urban	FH_1_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/2 of FH. Urban sector.
FD_2_urban	FD_2_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 2/2 of FD. Urban sector.
FE_2_urban	FE_2_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 2/2 of FE. Urban sector.
FF_2_urban	FF_2_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 2/2 of FF. Urban sector.
FG_2_urban	FG_2_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 2/2 of FG. Urban sector.
FH_2_urban	FH_2_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 2/2 of FH. Urban sector.
HG_1_urban	HG_1_urban	Rich text format	Interview 1/1 of HG. Urban sector.
FI_1_urban	FI_1_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/2 of FI. Urban sector.
FJ_1_urban	FJ_1_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/2 of FJ. Urban sector.
FK_1_urban	FK_1_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/2 of FK. Urban sector.
FL_1_urban	FL_1_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/2 of FL. Urban sector.
FI_2_urban	FI_2_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 2/2 of FI. Urban sector.
FJ_2_urban	FJ_2_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 2/2 of FJ. Urban sector.
FK_2_urban	FK_2_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 2/2 of FK. Urban sector.

FL_2_urban	FL_2_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 2/2 of FL. Urban sector.
Contextual_Information_rural	Contextual_Information_rural.rtf	Rich text format	Information in relation to the households of the fourth cohort interviewed. Rural
			sector.
FM_1_rural	FM_1_rural.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/2 of FM. Rural sector.
FN_1_rural	FN_1_rural.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/2 of FN. Rural sector.
FO_1_rural	FO_1_rural.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/2 of FO. Rural sector.
HM_1_rural	HM_1_rural.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/1 of HM. Rural sector.
HN_1_rural	HN_1_rural.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/1 of HN. Rural sector.
HO_1_rural	HO_1_rural.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/1 of HO. Rural sector.
FM_2_rural	FM_2_rural.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 2/2 of FM. Rural sector.
FN_2_rural	FN_2_rural.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 2/2 of FN. Rural sector.
FO_2_rural	FO_2_rural.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 2/2 of FO. Rural sector.
Contextual_Information_urban	Contextual_Information_urban.rt	Rich text format	Contextual information in relation to the households of the fifth cohort
	f		interviewed. Urban sector.
FP_1_urban	FP_1_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/2 of FP. Urban sector.
FQ_1_urban	FQ_1_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/2 of FQ. Urban sector.
FR_1_urban	FR_1_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/2 of FR. Urban sector.
FS_1_urban	FS_1_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/2 of FS. Urban sector.
FT_1_urban	FT_1_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/2 of FT. Urban sector.
FU_1_urban	FU_1_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/1 of FU. Urban sector.
FV_1_urban	FV_1_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/2 of FV. Urban sector.
FW_1_urban	FW_1_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/2 of FW. Urban sector.
FX_1_urban	FX_1_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/2 of FX. Urban sector.
HQ_1_urban	HQ_1_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/1 of HO. Urban sector.
HW_1_urban	HW_1_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 1/1 of HW. Urban sector.
FP_2_urban	FP_2_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 2/2 of FP. Urban sector.
FQ_2_urban	FQ_2_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 2/2 of FQ. Urban sector.
FR_2_urban	FR_2_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 2/2 of FR. Urban sector.
FS_2_urban	FS_2_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 2/2 of FS. Urban sector.
FT_2_urban	FT_2_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 2/2 of FT. Urban sector.
FV_2_urban	FV_2_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 2/2 of FV. Urban sector.
FW_2_urban	FW_2_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 2/2 of FW. Urban sector
FX_2_urban	FX_2_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Interview 2/2 of FX. Urban sector.

Contextual_Info_FG_Hommes_	Contextual_Info_FG_Hommes_ru	Rich text format	Contextual information in relation to the households of the focus group
rural	ral.rtf		discussion with men. Rural sector.
FG_Hommes_rural	FG_Hommes_rural.rtf	Rich text format	Focus group discussion with men. Rural sector.
FG_Femmes_rural	FG_Femmes_rural.rtf	Rich text format	Focus group discussion with women. Rural sector.
FG_Hommes_urban	FG_Hommes_urban.rtf	Rich text format	Focus group discussion with men. Urban sector.